From: Anita Au <au@scag.ca.gov>
Sent time: 09/27/2018 08:44:13 AM
To: elva.nuno-odonnell@lacity.org
Cc: Ping Chang <CHANG@scag.ca.gov>

Subject: SCAG Comments on NOP of a DEIR for the Hollywood Center Project [SCAG NO. IGR9706]

Attachments: IGR9706 NOP Hollywood Center Project.pdf

Good morning Elva,

Please find attached SCAG Comments on NOP of a DEIR for the Hollywood Center Project [SCAG NO. IGR9706].

Please contact me at (213) 236-1874 if you have any questions or difficulties with the attached file.

Thank you,



Anita Au

Associate Regional Planner Tel: (213) 236-1874 au@scag.ca.gov

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS 900 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 1700, Los Angeles, CA 90017



SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS
900 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 1700
Los Angeles, CA 90017
T: (213) 236-1800
www.scag.ca.gov

REGIONAL COUNCIL OFFICERS

President Alan D. Wapner, San Bernardino County Transportation Authority

First Vice President Bill Jahn, Big Bear Lake

Second Vice President Randon Lane, Murrieta

Immediate Past President Margaret E. Finlay, Duarte

COMMITTEE CHAIRS

Executive/Administration Alan D. Wapner, San Bernardino County Transportation Authority

Community, Economic & Human Development Peggy Huang, Transportation Corridor Agencies

Energy & Environment Linda Parks, Ventura County

Transportation
Curt Hagman, San Bernardino
County

September 27, 2018

Ms. Elva Nuño-O'Donnell City of Los Angeles, Department of City Planning 6262 Van Nuys Boulevard, Room 351

Van Nuys, California 91401 Phone: (818) 374-5066

E-mail: elva.nuno-odonnell@lacity.org

RE: SCAG Comments on the Notice of Preparation of a Draft Environmental Impact Report for the Hollywood Center Project [SCAG NO. IGR9706]

Dear Ms. Nuño-O'Donnell,

Thank you for submitting the Notice of Preparation of a Draft Environmental Impact Report for the Hollywood Center Project ("proposed project") to the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) for review and comment. SCAG is the authorized regional agency for Inter-Governmental Review (IGR) of programs proposed for Federal financial assistance and direct Federal development activities, pursuant to Presidential Executive Order 12372. Additionally, SCAG reviews the Environmental Impact Reports of projects of regional significance for consistency with regional plans pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and CEQA Guidelines.

SCAG is also the designated Regional Transportation Planning Agency under state law, and is responsible for preparation of the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) including the Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS) pursuant to Senate Bill (SB) 375. As the clearinghouse for regionally significant projects per Executive Order 12372, SCAG reviews the consistency of local plans, projects, and programs with regional plans. SCAG's feedback is intended to assist local jurisdictions and project proponents to implement projects that have the potential to contribute to attainment of Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Community Strategies (RTP/SCS) goals and align with RTP/SCS policies.

SCAG staff has reviewed the Notice of Preparation of a Draft Environmental Impact Report for the Hollywood Center Project in Los Angeles County. The proposed project includes a mixed-use development with up to 1,005 residential units (including up to 133 senior affordable units), 30,176 square feet of retail and restaurant spaces, 160,707 square feet of open space, and up to 200 hotel rooms on a 4.46-acre site.

When available, please send environmental documentation to SCAG's Los Angeles office in Los Angeles (900 Wilshire Boulevard, Ste. 1700, Los Angeles, California 90017) or by email to au@scag.ca.gov providing, at a minimum, the full public comment period for review.

If you have any questions regarding the attached comments, please contact the Inter-Governmental Review (IGR) Program, attn.: Anita Au, Associate Regional Planner, at (213) 236-1874 or au@scag.ca.gov. Thank you.

Sincerely.

Ping Chang

Ping Chang

Acting Manager, Compliance and Performance Monitoring

¹Lead agencies such as local jurisdictions have the sole discretion in determining a local project's consistency with the 2016 RTP/SCS for the purpose of determining consistency for CEQA. Any "consistency" finding by SCAG pursuant to the IGR process should not be construed as a determination of consistency with the 2016 RTP/SCS for CEQA.

SCAG No. IGR9706 Page 2

COMMENTS ON THE NOTICE OF PREPARATION OF A DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT FOR THE HOLLYWOOD CENTER PROJECT [SCAG NO. IGR9706]

CONSISTENCY WITH RTP/SCS

SCAG reviews environmental documents for regionally significant projects for their consistency with the adopted RTP/SCS. For the purpose of determining consistency with CEQA, lead agencies such as local jurisdictions have the sole discretion in determining a local project's consistency with the RTP/SCS.

2016 RTP/SCS GOALS

The SCAG Regional Council adopted the 2016 RTP/SCS in April 2016. The 2016 RTP/SCS seeks to improve mobility, promote sustainability, facilitate economic development and preserve the quality of life for the residents in the region. The long-range visioning plan balances future mobility and housing needs with goals for the environment, the regional economy, social equity and environmental justice, and public health (see http://scagrtpscs.net/Pages/FINAL2016RTPSCS.aspx). The goals included in the 2016 RTP/SCS may be pertinent to the proposed project. These goals are meant to provide guidance for considering the proposed project within the context of regional goals and policies. Among the relevant goals of the 2016 RTP/SCS are the following:

SCAG 2016 RTP/SCS GOALS					
RTP/SCS G1:	Align the plan investments and policies with improving regional economic development and competitiveness				
RTP/SCS G2:	Maximize mobility and accessibility for all people and goods in the region				
RTP/SCS G3:	Ensure travel safety and reliability for all people and goods in the region				
RTP/SCS G4:	Preserve and ensure a sustainable regional transportation system				
RTP/SCS G5:	Maximize the productivity of our transportation system				
RTP/SCS G6:	Protect the environment and health for our residents by improving air quality and encouraging active transportation (e.g., bicycling and walking)				
RTP/SCS G7:	Actively encourage and create incentives for energy efficiency, where possible				
RTP/SCS G8:	Encourage land use and growth patterns that facilitate transit and active transportation				
RTP/SCS G9:	Maximize the security of the regional transportation system through improved system monitoring, rapid recovery planning, and coordination with other security agencies*				
	*SCAG does not yet have an agreed-upon security performance measure.				

For ease of review, we encourage the use of a side-by-side comparison of SCAG goals with discussions of the consistency, non-consistency or non-applicability of the goals and supportive analysis in a table format. Suggested format is as follows:

SCAG 2016 RTP/SCS GOALS							
	Goal	Analysis					
RTP/SCS G1:	Align the plan investments and policies with improving regional economic development and competitiveness	Consistent: Statement as to why; Not-Consistent: Statement as to why; Or Not Applicable: Statement as to why; DEIR page number reference					
RTP/SCS G2:	Maximize mobility and accessibility for all people and goods in the region	Consistent: Statement as to why; Not-Consistent: Statement as to why; Or Not Applicable: Statement as to why; DEIR page number reference					
etc.		etc.					

2016 RTP/SCS STRATEGIES

To achieve the goals of the 2016 RTP/SCS, a wide range of land use and transportation strategies are included in the 2016 RTP/SCS. Technical appendances of the 2016 RTP/SCS provide additional view supporting information in detail. To RTP/SCS, the 2016 please http://scagrtpscs.net/Pages/FINAL2016RTPSCS.aspx. The 2016 RTP/SCS builds upon the progress from the 2012 RTP/SCS and continues to focus on integrated, coordinated, and balanced planning for land use and transportation that the SCAG region strives toward a more sustainable region, while the region meets and exceeds in meeting all of applicable statutory requirements pertinent to the 2016 RTP/SCS. These strategies within the regional context are provided as guidance for lead agencies such as local jurisdictions when the proposed project is under consideration.

DEMOGRAPHICS AND GROWTH FORECASTS

Local input plays an important role in developing a reasonable growth forecast for the 2016 RTP/SCS. SCAG used a bottom-up local review and input process and engaged local jurisdictions in establishing the base geographic and socioeconomic projections including population, household and employment. At the time of this letter, the most recently adopted SCAG jurisdictional-level growth forecasts that were developed in accordance with the bottom-up local review and input process consist of the 2020, 2035, and 2040 population, households and employment forecasts. To view them, please visit http://www.scag.ca.gov/Documents/2016GrowthForecastByJurisdiction.pdf. The growth forecasts for the region and applicable jurisdictions are below.

	Adopted SCAG Region Wide Forecasts			Adopted City of Los Angeles Forecasts		
	Year 2020	Year 2035	Year 2040	Year 2020	Year 2035	Year 2040
Population	19,663,000	22,091,000	22,138,800	4,017,000	4,442,500	4,609,400
Households	6,458,000	7,325,000	7,412,300	1,441,400	1,618,900	1,690,300
Employment	8,414,000	9,441,000	9,871,500	1,899,500	2,104,100	2,169,100

MITIGATION MEASURES

SCAG staff recommends that you review the Final Program Environmental Impact Report (Final PEIR) for the 2016 RTP/SCS for guidance, as appropriate. SCAG's Regional Council certified the Final PEIR and adopted the associated Findings of Fact and a Statement of Overriding Considerations (FOF/SOC) and Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (MMRP) on April 7, 2016 (please see: http://scagrtpscs.net/Pages/FINAL2016PEIR.aspx). The Final PEIR includes a list of project-level performance standards-based mitigation measures that may be considered for adoption and implementation by lead, responsible, or trustee agencies in the region, as applicable and feasible. Project-level mitigation measures are within responsibility, authority, and/or jurisdiction of project-implementing agency or other public agency serving as lead agency under CEQA in subsequent project- and site- specific design, CEQA review, and decision-making processes, to meet the performance standards for each of the CEQA resource categories.